NOTES AND DISCUSSIONS

Erratum: "Quantum interference experiments with large molecules" [Am. J. Phys. 71 (4), 319–325 (2003)]

Olaf Nairz,[a] Markus Arndt, and Anton Zeilinger[b]
Universität Wien, Institut für Experimentalphysik, Boltzmanngasse 5, A-1090 Wien, Austria

In Fig. 3 the correct distance between grating and detector was $L = 1.20 \pm 0.01$ m as also stated in the text.

In the caption to Fig. 7 the mean velocity in the experiment of Fig. 7 was experimentally determined to be $\bar{v} = 136 \pm 3$ m/s instead of $\bar{v} = 117$ m/s as stated in the caption for Fig. 7. Note, that the mean velocity in Fig. 7 was selected to be higher than that of Fig. 5 in order to utilize a more intense part of the thermal velocity distribution.

[a]Now at St. Johanns-Spital, Landesklinik für Radiotherapie und Radio-Onkologie, Müllner Hauptstrasse 48, 5020 Salzburg.
[b]Electronic mail: zeilinger-office@exp.univie.ac.at

Erratum: "One century later: Remarks on the Barnett experiment" [Am. J. Phys. 71 (6), 558–561 (2003)]

Alexander L. Kholmetskii[a]
Department of Physics, Belarus State University, 4, F. Skorina Avenue, 220080 Minsk, Belarus

The derivation in Eqs. (4)–(8) follows closely that found in "Vacuum Electrodynamics on a Merry-Go-Round" by John Ise and Jack Uretsky [Am. J. Phys. **26**, 431–435 (1958)]. I thank Jack Uretsky for bringing this reference to my attention.

[a]Electronic mail: kholm@bsu.by