

Clase 19

Martes 10/11/2020

La clase pasada vimos:

- i) Partículas, huecos, vacío
- ii) Orden normal de operadores
- iii) Pairing de operadores
- iv) Teorema de Wick para productos de operadores

Hoy vemos :

- i) Contracciones
- ii) Teorema de Wick para productos ordenados temporalmente

Chapter 19

Particle and hole operators and Wick's theorem



Gian Carlo Wick

Turín 1909-1992

REPASO

Partículas:
$$\left. \begin{aligned} a_i^\dagger &\equiv c_i^\dagger \\ a_i &\equiv c_i \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ for } \epsilon_i > \epsilon_F$$

Huecos:
$$\left. \begin{aligned} b_j^\dagger &\equiv c_j \\ b_j &\equiv c_j^\dagger \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ for } \epsilon \leq \epsilon_F$$

$$\{c_i^\dagger, c_k\} = \delta_{ik} \longrightarrow \{a_i^\dagger, a_k\} = \delta_{ik} \quad \text{and} \quad \{b_j^\dagger, b_l\} = \delta_{jl}$$

$$a_i | \Phi_0 \rangle = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad b_j | \Phi_0 \rangle = 0 \longrightarrow \text{Un nuevo vacío, sin partículas ni huecos}$$

Los operador de campo se dividen en dos partes:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{\epsilon_i \leq \epsilon_F} \varphi_i(\mathbf{x}) c_i + \sum_{\epsilon_i > \epsilon_F} \varphi_i(\mathbf{x}) c_i \\ &= \sum_{\epsilon_i \leq \epsilon_F} \varphi_i(\mathbf{x}) b_i^\dagger + \sum_{\epsilon_i > \epsilon_F} \varphi_i(\mathbf{x}) a_i \equiv \psi_+(\mathbf{x}) + \psi_-(\mathbf{x}) \end{aligned}$$

REPASO

Orden normal en un producto de operadores: llevar operadores de **destrucción** (de partículas o huecos) a la **derecha** (y un signo)

Ejemplo: $N[c_i(t_1)c_j(t_2)c_k^\dagger(t_3)]$

$$\begin{aligned} &= N[a_i(t_1)b_j^\dagger(t_2)a_k^\dagger(t_3)] \\ &= (-1)^2 b_j^\dagger(t_2)a_k^\dagger(t_3)a_i(t_1) \\ &= +c_j(t_2)c_k^\dagger(t_3)c_i(t_1), \end{aligned}$$

Supongamos:

$$\epsilon_i, \epsilon_k > \epsilon_F, \quad \epsilon_j < \epsilon_F$$

Notar que siempre da: $\langle \Phi_0 | N[\dots] | \Phi_0 \rangle = 0$

REPASO

Pairing: $\underbrace{AB} \equiv AB - N(AB)$

$$\underbrace{a_i(t)a_j^\dagger(t')} = e^{i\epsilon_j(t'-t)}\delta_{ij}$$

$$\underbrace{b_i(t)b_j^\dagger(t')} = e^{i\epsilon_j(t-t')}\delta_{ij}$$

$$\{A, B\} = 0 \Rightarrow \underbrace{AB} = 0$$

Notar que: $\underbrace{a_i^\dagger(t)a_j(t')} = a_i^\dagger(t)a_j(t') - N[a_i^\dagger(t)a_j(t')] = 0$

Valor de expectación en el vacío:

$$\langle \Phi_0 | AB | \Phi_0 \rangle = \langle \Phi_0 | N(AB) + \underbrace{AB} | \Phi_0 \rangle = \langle \Phi_0 | \underbrace{AB} | \Phi_0 \rangle = \underbrace{AB}$$

El orden normal puede incluir pairings:

$$N(\underbrace{A B C D E \dots X Y Z}) \equiv (-)^q \underbrace{A D}_{\square} \underbrace{C Y}_{\square} N(B E \dots X Z)$$

Teorema de Wick (I)

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_1 A_2 \dots A_n &= N(A_1 \dots A_n) \\
 &+ N(\underbrace{A_1 A_2}_{\square} A_3 \dots A_n) + N(A_1 \underbrace{A_2 A_3}_{\square} \dots) \\
 &+ \dots \text{ all other terms with one pairing} \\
 &+ N(\underbrace{A_1 A_2}_{\square} \dots \underbrace{A_n}_{\square}) \\
 &+ \dots \text{ all other terms with two pairings} \\
 &+ \\
 &\vdots \\
 &+ \text{ all completely paired terms} \\
 &\quad (\text{they appear only for even } n).
 \end{aligned}$$

Due to the linearity of normal-ordered products (and of the pairings), Wick's theorem also holds for linear combinations of particle and hole operators, and consequently also for the field operators themselves.

Físicos teóricos suizos:

Gregory Wannier

Physicist



Gregory Hugh Wannier was a Swiss physicist. [Wikipedia](#)

Born: December 30, 1911, [Basel, Switzerland](#)

Died: October 21, 1983, [Portland, Oregon, United States](#)

Books: [Statistical physics](#)

Education: [University of Basel / Kollegienhaus, Princeton University](#)

Academic advisor: [Ernst Stueckelberg](#)

Notable student: [Douglas Hofstadter](#)



Ernst Stueckelberg

Swiss mathematician

Ernst Carl Gerlach Stueckelberg was a Swiss mathematician and physicist, regarded as one of the most eminent physicists of the 20th century. [Wikipedia](#)

Born: February 1, 1905, [Basel, Switzerland](#)

Died: September 4, 1984, [Geneva, Switzerland](#)

Education: [University of Geneva, University of Basel / Kollegienhaus](#)

Awards: [Max Planck Medal](#)

Academic advisors: [August Hagenbach, Arnold Sommerfeld](#)

Notable students: [André Petermann, Gregory Wannier, Marcel Guénin](#)

Definición: Contracción

$$\overline{A(t)B(t')} \equiv T(A(t)B(t')) - N(A(t)B(t'))$$

Relación entre **Contracción** y **Pairing** $\overline{AB} \equiv AB - N(AB)$

$$\overline{A(t)B(t')} = T(A(t)B(t')) - N(A(t)B(t'))$$

$$\begin{matrix} t > t' \\ \\ t' > t \end{matrix} = \begin{cases} A(t)B(t') - N(A(t)B(t')) \\ \\ -B(t')A(t) - N(A(t)B(t')) = -B(t')A(t) + N(B(t')A(t)) \end{cases}$$

$$\longrightarrow \overline{A(t)B(t')} = \begin{cases} A(t)B(t') & t > t' \\ \overline{\quad} \\ -B(t')A(t) & t' > t \\ \overline{\quad} \end{cases}$$

Finalmente obtenemos para el valor medio de un producto ordenado temporalmente:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \Phi_0 | T(A(t)B(t')) | \Phi_0 \rangle &= \langle \Phi_0 | \overbrace{A(t)B(t')} + N(A(t)B(t')) | \Phi_0 \rangle \\
 &= \langle \Phi_0 | \overbrace{A(t)B(t')} | \Phi_0 \rangle + \langle \Phi_0 | N(A(t)B(t')) | \Phi_0 \rangle \\
 &= \overbrace{A(t)B(t')}
 \end{aligned}$$

Como vimos para el pairing, se puede incluir contracciones dentro de un producto con orden normal:

$$N(\overbrace{A B C D E} \dots X Y Z) = (-1)^q \overbrace{A D} \overbrace{B Y} N(C E \dots X Z)$$

Teorema de Wick para productos ordenados temporalmente

$$\begin{aligned} T(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n) &= N(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n) \\ &\quad + N(\overbrace{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n}^{\quad}) + \\ &\quad + \dots \text{ all other terms with one contraction} \\ &\quad + N(\overbrace{A_1 A_2}^{\quad} \overbrace{\dots A_n}^{\quad}) + \dots \\ &\quad + \dots \text{ all other terms with two contractions} \\ &\quad + \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad + \text{ all completely contracted terms} \\ &\quad \text{(they appear only for even } n) \end{aligned}$$

Comparar con la primera versión del teorema de Wick que vimos antes:

Wick's theorem for normal products states that

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_1 A_2 \dots A_n &= N(A_1 \dots A_n) \\
 &+ N(\underbrace{A_1 A_2} \dots A_3 \dots A_n) + N(A_1 A_2 \underbrace{A_3 \dots} \dots) \\
 &+ \dots \text{ all other terms with one pairing} \\
 &+ N(\underbrace{A_1 A_2} \dots \underbrace{A_3 A_4} \dots A_n) \\
 &+ \dots \text{ all other terms with two pairings} \\
 &+ \\
 &\vdots \\
 &+ \text{ all completely paired terms} \\
 &\quad (\text{they appear only for even } n).
 \end{aligned}$$

Veamos contracciones de los operadores originales:

$$\overbrace{c_j(t)c_k^\dagger(t')} = \begin{cases} \text{for } \epsilon_j, \epsilon_k > \epsilon_F : \overbrace{a_j(t)a_k^\dagger} = \begin{cases} a_j(t)a_k^\dagger(t') & \text{for } t > t' \\ -a_k^\dagger(t')a_j(t) = 0 & \text{for } t' > t \end{cases} \\ \text{for } \epsilon_j, \epsilon_k \leq \epsilon_F : \overbrace{b_j^\dagger(t)b_k(t')} = \begin{cases} b_j^\dagger(t)b_k(t) = 0 & \text{for } t > t' \\ -b_k(t')b_j^\dagger(t) & \text{for } t' > t \end{cases} \\ \text{otherwise : } 0 \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \delta_{jk}e^{-i\epsilon_j(t-t')} & \epsilon_j > \epsilon_F, t > t' \\ -\delta_{jk}e^{-i\epsilon_j(t-t')} & \epsilon_j \leq \epsilon_F, t' > t \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$= \delta_{jk}e^{-i\epsilon_j(t-t')} [\theta(t-t')\theta(\epsilon_j - \epsilon_F) - \theta(t'-t)\theta(\epsilon_F - \epsilon_j)]$$

$$\overline{c_j(t)c_k^\dagger(t')} = \delta_{jk} e^{-i\epsilon_j(t-t')} [\theta(t-t')\theta(\epsilon_j - \epsilon_F) - \theta(t'-t)\theta(\epsilon_F - \epsilon_j)]$$

Esta expresión es similar la función de Green para fermiones no interactuantes:

$$iG_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}t, \mathbf{k}'t') = \delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} e^{-i\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(t-t')} [\theta(t-t')\theta(k - k_F) - \theta(t'-t)\theta(k_F - k)]$$

Este resultado para la contracción es válido en general:

$$iG^{(0)}(jt, kt') = \langle \Phi_0 | T [c_j(t)_H c_k^\dagger(t')_H] | \Phi_0 \rangle \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ H = H_0 \end{array} \right\} \longrightarrow$$

$$iG^{(0)}(jt, kt') = \langle \Phi_0 | T [c_j(t)_I c_k^\dagger(t')_I] | \Phi_0 \rangle = \overline{c_j(t)_I c_k^\dagger(t')_I}$$

Se puede ver que:

$$\overline{c_k^\dagger(t')c_j(t)} = -c_j(t)c_k^\dagger(t')$$

$$\overline{c_j(t)c_k(t')} = 0 = \overline{c_j^\dagger(t)c_k^\dagger(t')}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\psi(xt)\psi^\dagger(yt')} &= -\overline{\psi^\dagger(yt')\psi(xt)} \\ &= \langle \Phi_0 | T \left(\psi(xt)\psi^\dagger(yt') \right) | \Phi_0 \rangle \\ &= iG^{(0)}(xt, yt')\end{aligned}$$

$$\overline{\psi(xt)\psi(yt')} = 0 = \overline{\psi^\dagger(xt)\psi^\dagger(yt')}$$

CONCLUSION: Las contracciones de operadores de creación y destrucción del H_0 son iguales a las funciones de Green del H_0 , o nulas.