Spectroscopic Test of Bose-Einstein Statistics for Photons
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Using Bose-Einstein-statistics-forbidden two-photon excitation in atomic barium, we have limited the rate of statistics-violating transitions, as a fraction \( \nu \) of an equivalent statistics-allowed transition rate, to \( \nu < 4.0 \times 10^{-11} \) at the 90% confidence level. This is an improvement of more than 3 orders of magnitude over the best previous result. Additionally, hyperfine-interaction enabling of the forbidden transition has been observed, to our knowledge, for the first time.
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The spin-statistics theorem (SST) is proved in the framework of relativistic field theory using the assumptions of causality and Lorentz invariance in 3 + 1 space-time dimensions. This Letter describes an experimental test of Bose-Einstein (BE) statistics and, consequently, the SST as it applies to photons interacting with atoms. As such, the experiment is a test of the assumptions, both explicit and subtle [1], of the SST and the assumptions made in the quantization of the photon field.

The experiment uses a selection rule [2,3] for atomic transitions that is closely related to the Landau-Yang theorem [4,5] in high-energy physics. The selection rule states that two collinear, equal-frequency, photons cannot participate in any process that would require them to be in a state of total angular momentum one. An example in high-dimensional. This Letter describes an experimental test of the SST and the assumptions made in the quantization of the photon field.

For atoms, the selection rule means that two collinear photons cannot decay to two photons, \( Z_0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \) (presently, the branching ratio for this process is limited to less than \( 5.2 \times 10^{-5} \) [6]). For atoms, the selection rule means that two collinear equal-frequency photons cannot stimulate a transition between atomic states of total angular momentum zero and one, \( J = 0 \rightarrow J' = 1 \) (Fig. 1). The reason is the same in both cases: The photons would have to be in a state of total angular momentum \( J = 1 \), an exchange-antisymmetric state, in violation of BE statistics and the SST (see Ref. [7] for a review of other examples, other tests of particle statistics, and theoretical attempts to accommodate violations of the SST). We illustrate the selection rule, applied to this experiment, as follows: The rate \( W \) for \( E1E1 \) two-photon excitation from an atomic ground \( |g\rangle \) state is [8,9]

\[
W_\pm = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar^4} \left| \sum_n A_{12}^{(n)} \pm A_{21}^{(n)} \right|^2 \times \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \frac{\Gamma/2}{\Omega_1 + \Omega_2 - \omega_{eg}} \right)^2 \frac{I_1 I_2}{4 e_0 c^3 \hbar^2},
\]

where

\[
A_{jk}^{(n)} = \frac{\langle e| \hat{\epsilon}_k \cdot \hat{D}|n\rangle\langle n| \hat{\epsilon}_j \cdot \hat{D}|e\rangle}{\omega_{eg} - \omega_j + i\Gamma_n/2}
\]

is the amplitude for the ordered absorption of photons \( j \) and \( k \); \( |g\rangle \), \( |n\rangle \), and \( |e\rangle \) are the ground, intermediate, and excited states; \( \Gamma_n \) and \( \Gamma \) are the intermediate- and excited-state natural widths; \( \hat{e}_{1,2}, \Omega_{1,2}, \) and \( I_{1,2} \) are the polarization, frequency, and field intensity of photon 1, 2; \( \omega_{kl} = \omega_k - \omega_l \) is the energy difference between states \( k \) and \( l \) expressed in frequency units; and \( \hat{D} \) is the electric-dipole operator.

There are two paths to the final state, hence the two amplitudes \( A_{12}^{(n)} \) and \( A_{21}^{(n)} \) in Eq. (1). Bose statistics requires that the amplitudes add with a relative “+” (upper) sign. We investigate the consequences of exchange-antisymmetric photon states by adding the exchange amplitudes with a relative “−” (lower) sign. Then, performing the sum over magnetic sublevels of the largest contributing manifold, applying the Wigner-Eckart theorem, extracting the rank-1 irreducible component of the transition operator, and assuming orthogonal light polarizations (which maximizes the rate [10]) give

\[
W_\pm = \frac{|f_\pm|^2}{\Omega_1 + \Omega_2 - \omega_{eg}} \left( \frac{\Gamma/2}{\Omega_1 + \Omega_2 - \omega_{eg}} \right)^2 \frac{I_1 I_2}{3 e_0 c^3 \hbar^2}, \tag{3}
\]

where \( D_{eg} (D_{en}) \) is the reduced dipole matrix element of the \( |g\rangle \) to \( |n\rangle \) (\( |n\rangle \) to \( |e\rangle \) transition, and where

![FIG. 1](color online). Two amplitudes interfere in the excitation of the \( m_J = 0 \) sublevel in a \( J = 0 \rightarrow J' = 1 \) two-photon atomic transition. Similar diagrams can be drawn for excitation to the \( m_J = \pm 1 \) sublevels.
\[ f_+ = \frac{\Omega_1 - \Omega_2}{\omega_{ng} - \Omega_1 + i\Gamma_n/2}(\omega_{ng} - \Omega_2 + i\Gamma_n/2), \tag{4} \]
\[ f_- = \frac{\omega_{ng} - (\Omega_1 + \Omega_2)/2 + i\Gamma_n/2}{\omega_{ng} - \Omega_1 + i\Gamma_n/2}(\omega_{ng} - \Omega_2 - \Omega_1/2), \tag{5} \]

Equations (4) and (5) show that when the two photons have the same frequency (i.e., when \( \Omega_1 = \Omega_2 \)), then \( f_+ = 0 \), but \( f_- \neq 0 \). Figure 2 shows this distinction at \( \Omega_2 = \Omega_1 = \omega_{eg}/2 \): for exchange-symmetric photon states, the transition rate is identically zero; for exchange-antisymmetric states, the rate is \( \approx 1/\Delta^2 \), where \( \Delta = \omega_{ng} - \omega_{eg}/2 \) is the separation between the energy of the intermediate level \( \omega_{ng} \) and the energy midpoint \( \omega_{eg}/2 \). This fact motivated the choice of atomic species in the experiment: in barium, \( \Delta \) is relatively small (93 cm\(^{-1} \)), providing resonant enhancement to \( W_- \) (Fig. 3).

Measurements of the transition rate made at laser frequencies near the energy midpoint probe the exchange symmetry of photons. It is convenient to express the rates (3) in the case where the two laser frequencies are symmetrically detuned by a small amount \( \delta \) (where \( \delta \ll \Delta \)) about the energy midpoint, \( \delta = |\omega_{eg}/2 - \Omega_1| = |\omega_{eg}/2 - \Omega_2| \). Assuming \( |\Omega_1 + \Omega_2 - \omega_{eg}| \ll \Gamma \), the transition rates \( W_\pm \) expressed as functions of \( \delta \) are
\[ W_-(\delta) = \frac{2D^2_{en}D^2_{ng}I_1I_2}{\Gamma\hbar^4\Delta^2\epsilon_0^2c^2}(1 - \delta^2/\Delta^2)^{-2}, \tag{6} \]
\[ W_+(\delta) = \frac{\delta^2}{\Delta^2} W_-(\delta). \tag{7} \]

Because Hamiltonians which treat multiple photons identically cannot mix exchange-symmetric and exchange-antisymmetric subspaces of the photon state space [11], there is no interference between the two amplitudes in the total excitation rate. Thus the measured fluorescence signal \( S(\delta) \) on resonance is
\[ S(\delta) = \gamma\{W_+(\delta) + \nu W_-(\delta)\}, \tag{8} \]

where \( \gamma \) is the product of the number of atoms and the total detection efficiency, and \( \nu \) is a small dimensionless parameter that characterizes the degree of violation of BE statistics.

Measuring \( \nu \) is achieved by first calibrating the apparatus at \( \delta = \delta_{cal} \). Expanding \( S(\delta) \) about \( \delta = 0 \), for \( \nu \ll \delta^2_{cal}/\Delta^2 \ll 1 \), the calibration signal is
\[ S_{cal} = S(\delta_{cal}) = \gamma W_{-}(0)\frac{\delta^2_{cal}}{\Delta^2} + O\left(\frac{\delta^4_{cal}}{\Delta^4}\right). \tag{9} \]

Measuring at the energy midpoint (\( \delta = 0 \)), the signal is \( S_{mid} = S(0) = \gamma \nu W_{-}(0) \), so that
\[ \nu = \frac{S_{mid}}{S_{cal}} \frac{\delta^2_{cal}}{\Delta^2}. \tag{10} \]

The previous version of the experiment [12] measured \( \nu < 10^{-7} \) at the 90% confidence level, using barium in a vapor cell and pulsed lasers to drive the 6s\(^2\) 1S\(_0 \rightarrow 5d6d^3\)S\(_1\) two-photon transition. As described below, the experiment’s sensitivity was limited by the relatively large bandwidth of the lasers (\( \approx 3 \) GHz). This Letter describes a new experiment that avoids these limitations by using continuous-wave lasers, an in-vacuum power buildup cavity (PBC), and an atomic beam (Fig. 4).

A run begins with the lasers locked to PBC modes that are separated by \( 4 \times c/2L \approx 2 \) GHz (Fig. 5, left). The cavity and lasers are swept over L1, acquiring a calibration spectrum with \( \delta_{cal} \approx 2\pi \times 1 \) GHz. The “Charybdis” laser is moved to the same longitudinal PBC mode as the “Scylla” laser. Then, both lasers are tuned back and forth over the energy midpoint many times, acquiring data with \( \delta \approx 2\pi \times 2 \) MHz. During the \( \approx 1 \) h that a run can take, the lasers’ intensities in the PBC may droop a few percent due to mechanical drift and dye consumption. The PBC transmission signals of both lasers are continuously recorded and used to correct for the droop. Scylla’s transmission peaks through a stabilized reference cavity are recorded and used to calibrate the frequency axis and combine the data into a single spectrum (Fig. 6) of points,
FIG. 4 (color online). Experimental apparatus. An atomic beam of barium interacts with the light fields of two dye lasers ($\lambda \approx 557$ nm) at the center of a tunable confocal optical power buildup cavity (PBC) of length $L \approx 30$ cm. The lasers are coupled into the cavity to excite only symmetric transverse modes, making the effective free spectral range (FSR) $c/2L \equiv 500$ MHz. Cavity finesse is 340. The lasers drive the $6s^21S_0 \rightarrow 5d6d^2D_1$ two-photon transition of barium; see Fig. 3. Fluorescence from $5d6d^2D_1 \rightarrow 6s6p^2P_{0,1,2} (\lambda \approx 420$ nm) is collected through a light pipe and bandpass filters (not shown) and detected by a low-noise photomultiplier tube and single-photon counter. Each dye laser is locked to the PBC with an and detected by a low-noise photomultiplier tube and single-collected through a light pipe and bandpass filters (not shown) detected with a fast photodiode. A stabilized cavity, of single-mode fiber and interference is detected with a fast photodiode. The lasers are also coupled into a Faraday rotators isolate the lasers from each other and from their own cavity-rejected light. The lasers are also coupled into a single-mode fiber and interference is detected with a fast photodiode. A stabilized cavity, of FSR $\equiv 50$ MHz, records transmission peaks from the Scylla laser.

Sampled every $\approx 3$ MHz. Atomic-beam density is monitored by measuring absorption on the $6s^21S_0 \rightarrow 6s6p^2P_{1,2}$ transition and found to vary negligibly.

To extract an estimate of $\nu$ from a run, a model line shape $L(\Omega_i)$ is constructed from the calibration spectrum. The data near the energy midpoint that are uncontaminated by the hyperfine-interaction (HFI)-induced transitions (Fig. 6, $15 \leq \Omega_i \leq 21$) are fit to the model function $a L(\Omega_i - \Omega_{31}/2) + b \Omega_i + c$, where the parameters $a$, $b$, and $c$ are determined by the fit, and $\Omega_{31}/2$ is the frequency difference between the calibration peak at L1 and the energy midpoint at L2. Without detailed knowledge of the light shifts, the exact frequency of the calibration peak and the energy midpoint are uncertain. But all that is required is to know the frequency difference between them, and a simple measurement determines this: Tuning the lasers from L1 to L3 (Fig. 5), and continuously acquiring Scylla’s reference-cavity peaks, two identical calibration spectra are acquired, one at L1 and another at L3, separated by $\Omega_{31} \approx 4.15$ reference-cavity peaks. Half this value is the frequency difference between the calibration peak and the energy midpoint.

The best-fit peak amplitude $a$ is the ratio $S_{\text{lim}}/S_{\text{cal}}$. Each run produces a best-fit estimate of $\nu$. The values of $\nu$ from 12 runs, the weighted average, and its standard error are shown in Fig. 7. The best-fit value of $\nu$ is $(1.4 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-11}$, limiting the relative rate to $\nu < 4.0 \times 10^{-11}$ at the 90% confidence level.
A number of sources of systematic error must be considered. The Landau-Yang theorem is circumvented in the nonzero-nuclear-spin isotopes of barium, $^{137}\text{Ba}$ (11% natural abundance, $I = 3/2$) and $^{138}\text{Ba}$ (6.6%, $I = 3/2$), by two manifestations of the HFI: HFI-induced splitting of the intermediate $6s6p\,^1P_1$ state, and HFI-induced mixing of states with $J' = 2$ (primarily $6s7d\,^3D_2$) with the final $5d6d\,^1D_1$ state [14]. The amplitudes for both processes are comparable, and the rate, relative to an allowed transition (i.e., expressed in the same way as the limit parameter $\nu$), is $\sim 10^{-19}$. This rate is $\sim 10^2$ times larger than the present level of sensitivity, and the HFI-induced transitions are observed (e.g., in the right-hand side of Fig. 6). To our knowledge, this is the first observation of HFI-enabled two-photon transitions. Fortunately, the peaks of the HFI-induced transitions, although close to the energy midpoint, do not completely contaminate it, and can be excluded from the determination of $\nu$. As is also discussed in Ref. [14], magnetic fields can create a false-positive signal in a way similar to the HFI. A relatively large field ($\sim 10$ G) would be necessary to generate a false-positive signal at the present level of sensitivity.

Another systematic effect, the one that limited the sensitivity in Ref. [12], is the nonzero spectral width of the lasers. Photons in opposite wings of the lasers’ spectral profiles can together drive the nominally BE-statistics-forbidden transition at a relative rate $\sim (\Gamma / \Delta)^2 \sim 10^{-12}$, where the laser line width is $\Gamma / \Delta = 2 \pi \times 3$ MHz.

When two counterpropagating light beams drive a two-photon transition, the Doppler effect due to the motion of the atoms collinear with the light (i.e., the transverse motion in the atomic beam) shifts the frequencies, as seen by the atoms, of the two light fields in opposite directions. This leads to a nonzero allowed two-photon-transition amplitude. It is, however, largely suppressed in the case of a power-buildup cavity [10]. The residual effect has a relative rate $T^2 \gamma / \Delta^2 \sim 2 \times 10^{-15}$, where $T = 10^{-2}$ is the PBC mirror transmission, and $\gamma / \Delta = 2 \pi \times 13$ MHz is the Doppler width of the two-photon transition.

At the energy midpoint, the amplitudes that destructively interfere (\section{A_{jk} in Fig. 1) proceed through magnetic sublevels (or orthogonal superpositions thereof) of the intermediate state. A disturbance of the degeneracy of these sublevels may alter the balance of the two amplitudes, preventing perfect cancellation, and generate a false-positive signal in a way similar to the HFI splitting mentioned above. Considering only the states in Fig. 1, light shifts produce a difference in the intermediate state energies of $\delta = (\mathcal{D}_{\rho \rho} - \mathcal{D}_{\sigma \sigma} - E_1^2 - E_2^2) / 4 \Delta$, where $E_1$ and $E_2$ are the electric field intensities of the light. It is notable that both an imbalance in the light intensities and an imbalance in the dipole fields are necessary to make $\delta \neq 0$. There are, besides, additional atomic states in the region around $|e\rangle$ that make smaller but significant contributions. Conservatively estimated, the relative rate due to light shifts is $(\delta / \Delta)^2 \sim 10^{-12}$, an order of magnitude below the present level of sensitivity.

The analysis of Eq. (1) and sequelae considered only $E1E1$ two-photon transitions. For the geometry of this experiment, where the light beams are collinear, the analysis still holds true for all multipole combinations [10]. However, misalignment of the laser beams permits certain higher-order multipole transitions [10]. The leading nonzero terms, $E1M2$ and $E2M1$ [9], roughly of the same magnitude, contribute a false-positive signal at a rate $\sim |\mathcal{D}_{M2}/\mathcal{D}_{E1}| \sin^2 \theta \sim 10^{-10} \theta^2 \sim 10^{-19}$, where $\mathcal{D}_{M2}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{E1}$ are the magnetic-quadrupole and electric-dipole reduced matrix elements of the transition and $\theta = 0.3^\circ$ is a conservative upper bound on the misalignment angle.

In conclusion, the reported experiment has improved the limit on possible Bose-Einstein statistics violation by photons by more than 3 orders of magnitude. Additionally, we have observed hyperfine-interaction-induced two-photon transitions. In principle, further improvement by an order of magnitude or more is possible with this technique by improving the laser-frequency lock, which will allow longer statistics accumulation.
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