

# Física de Semiconductores

## Lección 13

# Dirac magnetic monopole

- Let us suppose that there exists a magnetic monopole at the center of our coordinate system. Then the magnetic field produced by such monopole is

$$\mathbf{B} = q_m \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^3} = \frac{(x, y, z)}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)}$$

- The vector potential must be such that

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$$

# Possible vector potential I

- Let's try  $\mathbf{A} = q_m \frac{(y, -x, 0)}{r(r-z)}$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \begin{vmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{i}} & \hat{\mathbf{j}} & \hat{\mathbf{k}} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ \frac{y}{r(r-z)} & \frac{-x}{r(r-z)} & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

# Possible vector potential II

- Calculating the curl

$$\mathbf{B} = \left( -\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \frac{-x}{r(r-z)} \right], \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \frac{y}{r(r-z)} \right], \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{-x}{r(r-z)} \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \frac{y}{r(r-z)} \right] \right)$$

- The first term, for example, is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \frac{x}{r(r-z)} \right] &= x \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \frac{1}{r(r-z)} \right] = x \left\{ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \frac{1}{r-z} \right] + \frac{1}{r-z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \frac{1}{r} \right] \right\} \\ &= x \left\{ \frac{1}{r} \left[ -\frac{1}{(r-z)^2} \left( \frac{z}{r} - 1 \right) \right] + \frac{1}{r-z} \left( -\frac{1}{r^2} \right) \frac{z}{r} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

# Possible vector potential III

$$\begin{aligned} &= -\frac{x}{r^3} \left\{ \frac{r}{(r-z)^2} (z-r) + \frac{z}{r-z} \right\} \\ &= -\frac{x}{r^3} \left\{ \frac{r}{(r-z)^2} (z-r) + \frac{z(r-z)}{(r-z)^2} \right\} \\ &= -\frac{x}{r^3} \left\{ \frac{(z-r)}{(r-z)^2} (r-z) \right\} = \frac{x}{r^3} \end{aligned}$$

# Singularity

- However, the vector potential

$$\mathbf{A} = q_m \frac{(y, -x, 0)}{r(r - z)}$$

- is singular for  $z = r$ . We can use

$$\mathbf{A} = q_m \frac{(-y, x, 0)}{r(r + z)}$$

- but this is singular for  $z = -r$ . There is always a singular point no matter what the choice of vector potential is.

# Using two vector potentials I

- We can then use different vector potentials for the northern and southern hemispheres:

$$\mathbf{A}_N = q_m \frac{(-y, x, 0)}{r(r+z)} \quad \mathbf{A}_S = q_m \frac{(y, -x, 0)}{r(r-z)}$$

- Now

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_N - \mathbf{A}_S &= q_m \frac{(-y, x, 0)(r-z)}{r(r+z)(r-z)} - q_m \frac{(y, -x, 0)(r+z)}{r(r-z)(r+z)} \\ &= \frac{q_m}{r(r+z)(r-z)} \left[ -y(r-z) - y(r+z), x(r-z) + x(r+z), 0 \right] \end{aligned}$$

## Using two vector potentials II

• Or 
$$\mathbf{A}_N - \mathbf{A}_S = \frac{q_m}{r(r+z)(r-z)} [-2yr, 2xr]$$

$$= \frac{2q_m}{(r+z)(r-z)} [-y, x, 0]$$

$$= \frac{2q_m}{r^2 - z^2} [-y, x, 0] = \frac{2q_m}{x^2 + y^2} [-y, x, 0]$$

• But

$$\nabla \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{y}{x} \right) = \frac{1}{1 + (y/x)^2} \left( \frac{y}{-x^2} \right) + \frac{1}{1 + (y/x)^2} \left( \frac{1}{x} \right) = \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2} [-y, x, 0]$$

$$\mathbf{A}_N = \mathbf{A}_S + 2q_m \nabla \left[ \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{y}{x} \right) \right] = \mathbf{A}_S + \nabla (2q_m \phi)$$



# Using two vector potentials III

- Because the two vector potentials differ by a gradient, they describe the same physics. Now if I make the switch

$$\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{A} + \nabla\Lambda \quad \varphi \rightarrow \varphi' = \varphi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial\Lambda}{\partial t}$$

$$\Psi \rightarrow \Psi' = \Psi e^{-i\frac{e}{c\hbar}\Lambda} = \Psi e^{-i\frac{e}{c\hbar}2q_m\phi}$$

- But the wave function must be the same if I change  $\phi$  by  $2\pi$ , so

$$\frac{2q_m e}{c\hbar} = m$$

# The flux of $\mathbf{B}$ (I)

- The flux through the surface of a domain  $D$  is

$$\iint_D \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \iint_D (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \cdot d\mathbf{S}$$

- Applying Stokes theorem

$$\iint_D (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \int_{\partial D} \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{l}$$

- A closed domain can be seen as the combination of two parts  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ , so

$$4\pi q_m = \oiint (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \int_{\partial D_1} \mathbf{A}_1 \cdot d\mathbf{l} + \int_{\partial D_2} \mathbf{A}_2 \cdot d\mathbf{l}$$

## The flux of B (II)

- But  $\partial D_1 = -\partial D_2$
- Then  $4\pi q_m = \oiint (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \int (\mathbf{A}_1 - \mathbf{A}_2) \cdot d\mathbf{l}$
- If  $\mathbf{A}$  is non singular and we can use the same expression over the entire domain, then

$$\oiint (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \int_{\partial D_1} (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}) \cdot d\mathbf{l} = 0$$

- So if there is a magnetic monopole the vector potential must be singular somewhere.

# Chern number

- If  $q_m$  is not zero

$$\begin{aligned} 4\pi q_m &= \oiint (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \int_{\partial D_1} (\mathbf{A}_1 - \mathbf{A}_2) \cdot d\mathbf{l} \\ &= \int_{\partial D_1} \nabla (2q_m \phi) \cdot d\mathbf{l} = 2q_m \int_{\partial D_1} \nabla (\phi) \cdot d\mathbf{l} = 2q_m (2\pi) \end{aligned}$$

- The integral

$$C = \frac{1}{2\pi q_m} \oiint (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \cdot d\mathbf{S}$$

- is called the Chern number

# Berry phase I

- We have

$$\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbf{k}) = i \int d\mathbf{r} u_{n\mathbf{k}}^*(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{k}} u_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = i \langle n\mathbf{k} | \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{k}} | n\mathbf{k} \rangle$$

$$\gamma = \oint \mathcal{A}_n(\mathbf{k}) \cdot d\mathbf{k} = \int_{\Sigma} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{k}} \times \mathcal{A}_n(\mathbf{k}) \right) \cdot d\mathbf{S}$$

$$= \int_{\Sigma} \Omega_n(\mathbf{k}) \cdot d\mathbf{S}$$

- Therefore

$$\Omega_n(\mathbf{k}) = i \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{k}} \times \langle n\mathbf{k} | \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{k}} | n\mathbf{k} \rangle$$

# Berry phase II

$$\text{Using } \left( \vec{A} \times \vec{B} \right)_\alpha = \sum_{\beta\gamma} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} A_\beta B_\gamma$$

$$\left( \Omega_n \right)_\alpha = \sum_{\beta\gamma} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_\beta} \left\langle n\mathbf{k} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial k_\gamma} \right| n\mathbf{k} \right\rangle =$$

$$= \sum_{\beta\gamma} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \left\{ \frac{\partial \langle n\mathbf{k} |}{\partial k_\beta} \frac{\partial | n\mathbf{k} \rangle}{\partial k_\gamma} + \langle n\mathbf{k} | \frac{\partial}{\partial k_\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_\gamma} | n\mathbf{k} \rangle \right\}$$

$$= \sum_{\beta\gamma} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \frac{\partial \langle n\mathbf{k} |}{\partial k_\beta} \frac{\partial | n\mathbf{k} \rangle}{\partial k_\gamma}$$

Because

$$\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = -\varepsilon_{\alpha\gamma\beta}$$

# Berry phase III

- Inserting the identity

$$\left(\Omega_n\right)_\alpha = \sum_{n'} \sum_{\beta\gamma} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \frac{\partial \langle n\mathbf{k} |}{\partial k_\beta} |n'\mathbf{k}\rangle \langle n'\mathbf{k} | \frac{\partial |n\mathbf{k}\rangle}{\partial k_\gamma}$$

- But 
$$\begin{aligned} E_n \langle n'\mathbf{k} | \nabla |n\mathbf{k}\rangle &= \langle n'\mathbf{k} | \nabla (H |n\mathbf{k}\rangle) \\ &= \langle n'\mathbf{k} | (\nabla H) |n\mathbf{k}\rangle + E_n \langle n'\mathbf{k} | H \nabla |n\mathbf{k}\rangle \\ &= \langle n'\mathbf{k} | (\nabla H) |n\mathbf{k}\rangle + E_{n'} \langle n'\mathbf{k} | \nabla |n\mathbf{k}\rangle \end{aligned}$$

# Berry phase IV

- This means

$$\langle n' \mathbf{k} | \frac{\partial |n \mathbf{k}\rangle}{\partial \mathbf{k}} = \frac{\langle n' \mathbf{k} | \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{k}} |n \mathbf{k}\rangle}{(E_n - E_{n'})}$$

- Similarly (taking the complex conjugate)

$$\frac{\partial \langle n' \mathbf{k} |}{\partial \mathbf{k}} |n \mathbf{k}\rangle = \frac{\langle n \mathbf{k} | \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{k}} |n' \mathbf{k}\rangle}{(E_n - E_{n'})}$$



# Berry phase V

- Inserting back

$$\left(\Omega_n\right)_\alpha = \sum_{n'} \sum_{\beta\gamma} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \frac{\left\langle n\mathbf{k} \left| \frac{\partial H}{\partial k_\beta} \right| n'\mathbf{k} \right\rangle \left\langle n'\mathbf{k} \left| \frac{\partial H}{\partial k_\gamma} \right| n\mathbf{k} \right\rangle}{\left(E_n - E_{n'}\right) \left(E_n - E_{n'}\right)}$$

- Or

$$\Omega_n = \sum_{n'} \frac{\left\langle n\mathbf{k} \left| \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{k}} \right| n'\mathbf{k} \right\rangle \times \left\langle n'\mathbf{k} \left| \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{k}} \right| n\mathbf{k} \right\rangle}{\left(E_n - E_{n'}\right)^2}$$

# Degeneracies

- If there is a degeneracy, the Berry curvature diverges, as the magnetic field of a monopole diverges at the monopole location.

# Tight binding models

- The Bloch function for an electron within the tight binding model is

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) &= \sum_{aj} C_{n,aj}(\mathbf{k}) \phi_{aj,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{aj} C_{n,aj}(\mathbf{k}) \sum_{\mathbf{R}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{d}_j)} \phi_a(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{d}_j)\end{aligned}$$

- Therefore, the periodic part of the wave function is  $u_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \psi_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$

$$u_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{aj} C_{n,aj}(\mathbf{k}) \sum_{\mathbf{R}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{d}_j-\mathbf{r})} \phi_a(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{d}_j)$$

# Derivatives of u

- For the Berry connection we need the derivative

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})}{\partial \mathbf{k}} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{aj} \frac{dC_{n,aj}(\mathbf{k})}{d\mathbf{k}} \sum_{\mathbf{R}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{d}_j-\mathbf{r})} \phi_a(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{d}_j) \\ &+ \frac{i}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{aj} C_{n,aj}(\mathbf{k}) \sum_{\mathbf{R}} (\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{d}_j-\mathbf{r}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{d}_j-\mathbf{r})} \phi_a(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{d}_j) \end{aligned}$$

# Berry connection I

- Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_{nk}^* (\mathbf{r}) \frac{du_{nk}}{d\mathbf{k}} &= \\
 &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{a'j' \\ aj}} C_{n,a'j'}^* (\mathbf{k}) \frac{dC_{n,aj} (\mathbf{k})}{d\mathbf{k}} \sum_{\mathbf{R}'\mathbf{R}} e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R}')} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{d}_j-\mathbf{d}_{j'})} \phi_{a'}^* (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}' - \mathbf{d}_{j'}) \phi_a (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{d}_j) \\
 &+ \frac{i}{N} \sum_{\substack{a'j' \\ aj}} C_{n,a'j'}^* (\mathbf{k}) C_{n,aj} (\mathbf{k}) \sum_{\mathbf{R}'\mathbf{R}} e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R}')} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{d}_j-\mathbf{d}_{j'})} (\mathbf{R} + \mathbf{d}_j) \phi_{a'}^* (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}' - \mathbf{d}_{j'}) \phi_a (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{d}_j) \\
 &- \frac{i}{N} \sum_{\substack{a'j' \\ aj}} C_{n,a'j'}^* (\mathbf{k}) C_{n,aj} (\mathbf{k}) \sum_{\mathbf{R}'\mathbf{R}} e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R}')} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{d}_j-\mathbf{d}_{j'})} \phi_{a'}^* (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}' - \mathbf{d}_{j'}) \mathbf{r} \phi_a (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{d}_j)
 \end{aligned}$$

# Berry connection II

- Integrating

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int d\mathbf{r} u_{n\mathbf{k}}^*(\mathbf{r}) \frac{du_{n\mathbf{k}}}{d\mathbf{k}} = \\
 & = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{a'j' \\ aj}} C_{n,a'j'}^*(\mathbf{k}) \frac{dC_{n,aj}(\mathbf{k})}{d\mathbf{k}} \sum_{\mathbf{R}'\mathbf{R}} e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R}')} e^{-i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{d}_j-\mathbf{d}_{j'})} \int d\mathbf{r} \phi_{a'}^*(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}'-\mathbf{d}_{j'}) \phi_a(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{d}_j) \\
 & + \frac{i}{N} \sum_{\substack{a'j' \\ aj}} C_{n,a'j'}^*(\mathbf{k}) C_{n,aj}(\mathbf{k}) \sum_{\mathbf{R}'\mathbf{R}} e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R}')} e^{-i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{d}_j-\mathbf{d}_{j'})} (\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{d}_j) \int d\mathbf{r} \phi_{a'}^*(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}'-\mathbf{d}_{j'}) \phi_a(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{d}_j) \\
 & - \frac{i}{N} \sum_{\substack{a'j' \\ aj}} C_{n,a'j'}^*(\mathbf{k}) C_{n,aj}(\mathbf{k}) \sum_{\mathbf{R}'\mathbf{R}} e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R}')} e^{-i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{d}_j-\mathbf{d}_{j'})} \int d\mathbf{r} \phi_{a'}^*(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}'-\mathbf{d}_{j'}) \mathbf{r} \phi_a(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{d}_j)
 \end{aligned}$$

# Berry connection III

- Using the orthogonality of the orbitals

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int d\mathbf{r} u_{nk}^*(\mathbf{r}) \frac{du_{nk}}{d\mathbf{k}} = \\
 & = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{a'j' \\ aj}} C_{n,a'j'}^*(\mathbf{k}) \frac{dC_{n,aj}(\mathbf{k})}{d\mathbf{k}} \sum_{\mathbf{R}'\mathbf{R}} e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R}')} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{d}_j-\mathbf{d}_{j'})} \delta_{aa'} \delta_{\mathbf{R}',\mathbf{R}} \delta_{jj'} \\
 & + \frac{i}{N} \sum_{\substack{a'j' \\ aj}} C_{n,a'j'}^*(\mathbf{k}) C_{n,aj}(\mathbf{k}) \sum_{\mathbf{R}'\mathbf{R}} e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R}')} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{d}_j-\mathbf{d}_{j'})} (\mathbf{R} + \mathbf{d}_j) \delta_{aa'} \delta_{\mathbf{R}',\mathbf{R}} \delta_{jj'} \\
 & - \frac{i}{N} \sum_{\substack{a'j' \\ aj}} C_{n,a'j'}^*(\mathbf{k}) C_{n,aj}(\mathbf{k}) \sum_{\mathbf{R}'\mathbf{R}} e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R}')} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{d}_j-\mathbf{d}_{j'})} \int d\mathbf{r} \phi_{a'}^*(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}' - \mathbf{d}_{j'}) \mathbf{r} \phi_a(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{d}_j)
 \end{aligned}$$

# Simplifying

- Using the orthogonality of the orbitals

$$\begin{aligned} & \int d\mathbf{r} u_{n\mathbf{k}}^*(\mathbf{r}) \frac{du_{n\mathbf{k}}}{d\mathbf{k}} = \\ & = \sum_{aj} C_{n,aj}^*(\mathbf{k}) \frac{dC_{n,aj}(\mathbf{k})}{d\mathbf{k}} \\ & + \frac{i}{N} \sum_{aj} C_{n,aj}^*(\mathbf{k}) C_{n,aj}(\mathbf{k}) \sum_{\mathbf{R}} (\mathbf{R} + \mathbf{d}_j) \\ & - \frac{i}{N} \sum_{\substack{a'j' \\ aj}} C_{n,a'j'}^*(\mathbf{k}) C_{n,aj}(\mathbf{k}) \sum_{\mathbf{R}'\mathbf{R}} e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R}')} e^{-i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{d}_j-\mathbf{d}_{j'})} \int d\mathbf{r} \phi_{a'}^*(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}'-\mathbf{d}_{j'}) \mathbf{r} \phi_a(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{d}_j) \end{aligned}$$



# Dipole matrix element

- If we now make we the approximation

$$\int d\mathbf{r} \phi_a^* (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}' - \mathbf{d}_{j'}) \mathbf{r} \phi_a (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{d}_j) \simeq (\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{d}_j) \delta_{\mathbf{R}\mathbf{R}'} \delta_{jj'} \delta_{aa'}$$

- as discussed in Tight-Binding Formalism in the Context of the PythTB Package (Yusufaly et al) and justified in detail by Schulz PRB 73,

$$\begin{aligned} 245327: \int d\mathbf{r} u_{n\mathbf{k}}^* (\mathbf{r}) \frac{d u_{n\mathbf{k}}}{d\mathbf{k}} &= \\ &= \sum_{aj} C_{n,aj}^* (\mathbf{k}) \frac{d C_{n,aj} (\mathbf{k})}{d\mathbf{k}} + \frac{i}{N} \sum_{aj} C_{n,aj}^* (\mathbf{k}) C_{n,aj} (\mathbf{k}) \sum_{\mathbf{R}} (\mathbf{R} + \mathbf{d}_j) \\ &\quad - \frac{i}{N} \sum_{\substack{a'j' \\ aj}} C_{n,a'j'}^* (\mathbf{k}) C_{n,aj} (\mathbf{k}) \sum_{\mathbf{R}} (\mathbf{R} + \mathbf{d}_j) = \sum_{aj} C_{n,aj}^* (\mathbf{k}) \frac{d C_{n,aj} (\mathbf{k})}{d\mathbf{k}} \end{aligned}$$

# Simplest tight binding model

- Let us consider the hamiltonian

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} k_z & k_x - ik_y \\ k_x - ik_y & -k_z \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$$

- The eigenvalues are
- With eigenvectors

$$\pm k \equiv \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2}$$

$$u_+ = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{k+k_z}{2k}} e^{-i\delta/2} \\ \sqrt{\frac{k-k_z}{2k}} e^{i\delta/2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\phi/2} \\ \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\phi/2} \end{pmatrix}; \quad u_- = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{k-k_z}{2k}} e^{-i\delta/2} \\ -\sqrt{\frac{k+k_z}{2k}} e^{i\delta/2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\phi/2} \\ -\cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\phi/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\delta = \tan^{-1} \left( k_y / k_x \right)$$

# Berry curvature calculation I

- We need  $\frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{k}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{k}} (\mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}$

$$\langle u_+^\dagger | \frac{\partial H}{\partial k_x} | u_- \rangle =$$

- So for example

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{k+k_z}{2k}} e^{i\delta/2} & \sqrt{\frac{k-k_z}{2k}} e^{-i\delta/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{k-k_z}{2k}} e^{-i\delta/2} \\ -\sqrt{\frac{k+k_z}{2k}} e^{i\delta/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{k+k_z}{2k}} e^{i\delta/2} & \sqrt{\frac{k-k_z}{2k}} e^{-i\delta/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{\frac{k+k_z}{2k}} e^{i\delta/2} \\ \sqrt{\frac{k-k_z}{2k}} e^{-i\delta/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= -\left(\frac{k+k_z}{2k}\right) e^{i\delta} + \left(\frac{k-k_z}{2k}\right) e^{-i\delta}$$

# Berry curvature calculation II

- We also get

$$\left\langle u_+^\dagger \left| \frac{\partial H}{\partial k_y} \right| u_- \right\rangle = \left( \frac{k + k_z}{2k} \right) i e^{i\delta} + \left( \frac{k - k_z}{2k} \right) e^{-i\delta}$$

$$\left\langle u_+^\dagger \left| \frac{\partial H}{\partial k_z} \right| u_- \right\rangle = \frac{\sqrt{k^2 - k_z^2}}{k}$$

$$\left\langle u_-^\dagger \left| \frac{\partial H}{\partial k_x} \right| u_+ \right\rangle = \left( \frac{k - k_z}{2k} \right) e^{i\delta} - \left( \frac{k + k_z}{2k} \right) e^{-i\delta}$$

$$\left\langle u_-^\dagger \left| \frac{\partial H}{\partial k_y} \right| u_+ \right\rangle = - \left( \frac{k - k_z}{2k} \right) i e^{i\delta} - \left( \frac{k + k_z}{2k} \right) i e^{-i\delta}$$

$$\left\langle u_-^\dagger \left| \frac{\partial H}{\partial k_z} \right| u_+ \right\rangle = \frac{\sqrt{k^2 - k_z^2}}{k}$$

# Berry curvature calculation III

- Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \boldsymbol{\Omega} &= \frac{1}{4k^2} \left| \begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\
 -\left(\frac{k+k_z}{2k}\right)e^{i\delta} + \left(\frac{k-k_z}{2k}\right)e^{-i\delta} & \left(\frac{k-k_z}{2k}\right)e^{i\delta} - \left(\frac{k+k_z}{2k}\right)e^{-i\delta} & \frac{\sqrt{k^2-k_z^2}}{k} \\
 \left(\frac{k-k_z}{2k}\right)e^{i\delta} - \left(\frac{k+k_z}{2k}\right)e^{-i\delta} & -\left(\frac{k-k_z}{2k}\right)ie^{i\delta} - \left(\frac{k+k_z}{2k}\right)ie^{-i\delta} & \frac{\sqrt{k^2-k_z^2}}{k}
 \end{array} \right| \\
 &= \frac{\mathbf{k}}{2k^3}
 \end{aligned}$$

# Berry connection I

- We can also write

$$u_+ = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\phi/2} \\ \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\phi/2} \end{pmatrix}; \quad u_- = \begin{pmatrix} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\phi/2} \\ -\cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\phi/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

- The gradient in spherical coordinates is

$$\nabla f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} \hat{\mathbf{r}} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \varphi} \hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$$

# Berry connection II

• Then

$$\mathcal{A}_+ = i \left\langle u_+ \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{k}} \right| u_+ \right\rangle = i \hat{\mathbf{r}} \left( \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\phi/2}, \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\phi/2} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{k}} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\phi/2} \\ \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{+i\phi/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ i \frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}{k} \left( \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\phi/2}, \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\phi/2} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\phi/2} \\ \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{+i\phi/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ i \frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}}{k \sin \theta} \left( \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\phi/2}, \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\phi/2} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\phi/2} \\ \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{+i\phi/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

# Berry connection III

- Then

$$\mathcal{A}_+ = i \left\langle u_+ \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{k}} \right| u_+ \right\rangle = 0$$

$$+ i \frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}{k} \left( \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\phi/2}, \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\phi/2} \right) \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\phi/2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{+i\phi/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ i \frac{\hat{\varphi}}{k \sin \theta} \left( \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\phi/2}, \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\phi/2} \right) \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{i}{2} \\ \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\phi/2} \\ -\sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{+i\phi/2} \end{pmatrix}$$



# Berry connection IV

- Then  $\mathcal{A}_+ = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\hat{\varphi}}{k \sin \theta} \left( 2 \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} - 1 \right) = \frac{\hat{\varphi}}{k \sin \theta} \left( \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right)$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \times \mathcal{A}_+ &= \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (A_\varphi \sin \theta) - \frac{\partial A_\theta}{\partial \varphi} \right) \hat{\mathbf{r}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{k} \left( \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial A_k}{\partial \varphi} - \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (k A_\varphi) \right) \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \frac{1}{k} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (k A_\theta) - \frac{\partial A_k}{\partial \theta} \right) \hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \\ &= \frac{1}{k \sin \theta} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (A_\varphi \sin \theta) \right) \hat{\mathbf{k}} \\ &= \frac{1}{k^2 \sin \theta} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \hat{\mathbf{k}} = -\frac{1}{k^2 \sin \theta} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \hat{\mathbf{k}} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2k^2 \sin \theta} 2 \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \hat{\mathbf{k}} = -\frac{\hat{\mathbf{k}}}{2k^2} \end{aligned}$$